

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,872	05/19/2006	Ilia Fishbein	RCHP-135US	1203
23122 RATNERPRE	7590 01/12/201 STIA	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 980		SHEN, WU CHENG WINSTON		
VALLEY FOR	RGE, PA 19482		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/567,872	FISHBEIN ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	WU-CHENG Winston SHEN	1632		

	WU-CHENG Winston SHEN	1632					
T							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 05 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. I. May neeply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a hotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire alter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST, RESECTION. See WIFEP 766.0TH.							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDIMENTS							
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		cted claims.					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-32. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). ✓ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. 							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,8-10 and 34-37. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 17-28.							
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answar not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to cancel claim 34 and to amend claims 1 and 35 further limiting "a modified protein" to a CAR protein or a fragment of a CAR protein raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search for prior art.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

- (i) Applicant's arguments have failed to overcome the rejection of claims 1, 3, 8-10, and 35-37 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levy et al. (U.S. 2003/004408, publication date, 03/06/2003, filed on 06/14/2000; this reference is cited in the IDS filed by Applicant on 08/20/2008) in view of Li (US patent 6,524,572, issued date 02/25/2003, filed on 09/26/2000) BECAUSE Applicant's arguments rely on the proposed claim amendments, which have not been entered. The rejection is maintained of the record.
- (ii) Applicant's arguments have failed to overcome the rejection of claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levy et al. (U.S. 2003/0044408, publication date, 03/06/2003, filed on 06/14/2000, this reference is cited in the IDS filed by Applicant on 06/20/20000) in view of Li (US patent 6,524,572, issued date 02/25/2003, filed on 09/26/2000), as applied to claims 1, 3, 8-10, and 35-37 above, and further in view of Xu et al. (US patent 7,001,745, issued date 02/21/2006, filed on 09/30/1999) BECAUSE Applicant's arguments rejo on the proposed claim amendments, which have not been entered. The rejection is maintained of the record.

/Wu-Cheng Winston Shen/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1632